

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/610,404	07/05/2000	Barry Richard Cavill	LE9-00-042	4044
21972	7590 07/24/2002			
LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT 740 WEST NEW CIRCLE ROAD			EXAMINER	
			POON, KING Y	
BLDG. 082-1 LEXINGTON	N, KY 40550-0999	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2624	
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES L ARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE SERIAL NUMBER FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER			
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	10		

DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

	ADVISORT ACT	014				
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:		·				
a) is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection				
b) sexpires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.						
The date on which the response, the p purposes of determining the period of a	etition , and the fee have been extension and the correspondi	CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. filed is the date of the response and also the date for the ng amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR atutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.				
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with						
Applicant's response to the final rejection, f to place the application in condition for allo	iled 7/12002 has wance:	been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed				
The proposed amendments to the claim	and /or specification will not t	be entered and the final rejection stands because:				
 a. There is no convincing showing to presented. 	under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the	e proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier				
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).						
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).						
d. They are not deemed to place the appeal.	he application in better form to	r appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
e. They present additional claims w	vithout cancelling a correspond	ling number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
·		<u> </u>				
Newly proposed or amended claims the non-allowable claims.	would be a	llowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling				
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed be as follows:	d amendment will be enter	red 🔲 will not be entered and the status of the claims will				
Claims allowed:						
Claims objected to:		<u> </u>				
However;						
Applicant's response has overcom	e the following rejection(s):					
A The efficient publisher reserves for rese	idorotion has been assaide	and but does not assess the releation because				
The amount, exhibit of requestion red	This default has been consider	red but does not overcome the rejection because				
The affidavit or exhibit will not be consider presented.	dered because applicant has n	ot shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier				
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has	has not been approved to	by the examiner.				
Other Harcia						
		GABRIEL GARCIA PRIMARY EXAMINER				

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)

Application/Control Number: 09610404

Art Unit: 2624

...

Attachment

With respect to applicant's argument that Itoh does not teach one or more second operation on the image in the second format, has been considered.

In reply: Itoh, column 14, lines 1-14, teaches to convert scanned images having an output resolution, and then can be automatically synthesized at a designated position. Moreover, fig. 4, clearly shows that image synthesized process (F4, fig. 4) is using scan images having output resolution. (300DPI). Therefore, the second image (scanned image with resolution) is further processed, (synthesized), according to Itoh.

With respect to applicant's argument that Itoh does not teach the digital print system is one device.

In reply: Fig. 4, of the specification of the present invention, teaches at least a device "controller," and a device "printer" forms the digital printer system. A controller is a separate device and it would be programmed to be used with other systems/devices such as a camera, a car, or a mobile phone. The printer of this invention contains other devices. Therefore, the devices shown in fig. 1, and 2 of Itoh forms a printer.